IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 62 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Anand Singh Pawar S/o Sri Mollu Singh,
2. Nathi Singh S/o Sri Garya Singh.
3. Badri Singh Pawar S/o Sri Narayan Singh.
   All R/o Village Saundi, Patti Uplirmoli,
   District Tehri Garhwal. …….. Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
   through District Magistrate,
   Tehri Garhwal.
2. Naib Tehsildar,
   Pratap Nagar,
   District Tehri Garhwal.
3. Patwari Chhatra, Garvangaoun,
   Tehsil Pratap Nagar,
   District Tehri Garhwal.
4. Ummed Lal S/o Chebatiya,
   R/o Village Saundi, Patti Uplirmoli,
   District Tehri Garhwal. ……. Respondents

Sri M.S. Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 2 & 3.
None for respondent no. 4.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Sri M.S. Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents nos. 1, 2 & 3.

None for respondent no. 4.

2. Sri Pande, the learned Additional Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by petitioners Anand Singh Panwar, Nathi Singh and Badri Singh seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them now has become infructuous as the police after the completion of the investigation did not find any case worth filing charge-sheet against

the petitioners and, as such, has submitted final report in the case before the concerning Court.

2. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

3. Consequently, the interim order dated 12.012006 stands vacated automatically.

4. With the above order, CLMA No. 314 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 06.07.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.) 06.07.2006

A